

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/017,334	017,334 12/14/2001		Dragan Dosen	41575/29338	8102
29493	7590	02/20/2004		EXAMINER	
HUSCH &	EPPENE	BERGER, LLC	BARFIELD, ANTHONY DERRELL		
190 CARONDELET PLAZA				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 600 ST. LOUIS,	MO 631	105-3441		3636	
,				DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2004	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(\/()
	10/017,334	DOSEN ET AL.	\mathcal{O}^{r}
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Anthony D Barfield	3636	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		th the correspondence addi	ress
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recepty within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this com ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	January 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ The section is FINAL .	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•	· ·	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received. ents have been received in A	pplication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	·	received in this National S	tage
application from the International Bure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	raccived	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ist of the certified copies not	receiveu.	
Attachment(s)	م	tummanı (DTO 442)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	08) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	0) Other	_	

Application/Control Number: 10/017,334

Art Unit: 3636

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-11,24-25, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Klinger. Klinger shows the use of an ergonomic support (4) comprising a two guide rails (1) and archable pressure surface (5) having an upper and lower end, whereby one of the upper and lower is movably attached to the guide rails. A traction element (14) is engaged to slide an upper end of the archable pressure surface. Klinger further shows the use of a weight distribution surface (8) fixed to the pressure archable surface at an apex thereof (see Fig. 2), which would inherently be disposed between the archable pressure surface and a seat cushion of a seat when mounted therein. Klinger further discloses that the weight distribution surface is flexible, could be made from either metal or plastics and be ribbed (see col. 9, lines 46-60).

Application/Control Number: 10/017,334 Page 3

Art Unit: 3636

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 13-23.26-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinger. Klinger shows all of the teachings of the claimed invention except the use of a weight distribution surface being tapered at upper and lower edges thereof or being substantially high as the archable pressure surface. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the weight distribution surface of Klinger, to be tapered, or as high as the archable pressure surface, since applicant has not disclosed that a tapered, or high weight distribution surface solves any stated problem and it has been recognized that a mere change in size is within the scope of one of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose* (CCPA 1955). Furthermore the method steps as recited would have been incorporated with the use of the invention, as taught by Klinger.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony D Barfield whose telephone number is 703-308-2158. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/017,334

Art Unit: 3636

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anthony D Barfield

Art Unit 3636

adb February 18, 2004